Comparision of True Nano with Microhybrid and Nanocluster Composite Before and After Tooth Brushing
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This in vitro study was undertaken to compare flowable true nano with microhybrid and nano cluster composites with references to polymerization shrinkage, surface roughness and wear resistance before and after tooth brushing. Three different composites G-Aenial Flo (true nano particles), Filtex P-90 (microhybrid) and Filtex Z 350 XT (Nano clusters) were used in this study and polymerization shrinkage was measured with stereo microscope (80 x magnification). The weight of the samples was checked using micro weighing balance (Mettler-Toledo). Tooth brush wear of the samples were done with the help of reciprocating toothbrush (Colgate 360) and universal testing machine. Three body wear was carried out with 72,000 strokes for 8 minutes with continuous force of 1.7 newtons (200 grams load). The tooth paste slurry (150 mg of tooth paste diluted with 75ml of deionised water) were injected on to the sample continuously with the help of a syringe. Then weights of the samples were recorded after wear and surface roughness were checked before and after tooth brush wear by surface profilometer. Filtex Z350XT had significantly less weight loss and wear as compared to Filtex P-90 and G-aenial flo. G-aenial flo had significantly less roughness before and after wear. P 90 had significantly less polymerization shrinkage followed by Z350 XT and G-aenial flo. G-aenial flo showed better clinical performance in relation to wear and roughness compared to Filtek P90 and Filtek Z 350 XT.
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Extensive research has been conducted on resin based composites to overcome the existing clinical limitations to enhance the physical and mechanical properties of the material. Various advances have been made in the filler technology to decrease polymerization shrinkage, surface roughness and to enhance the wear resistance.

To overcome the polymerization shrinkage various changes in filler technology like various in shape percentage of filler load, surface treatment have been implicated to modify the monomer matrix. Latest advancements in the field of filler...
technology is the nanotechnology application to
dental composite[1]. The alterations of reducing the
filler size enhances the polish ability but which in
turn leads to more polymerization shrinkage with
less resistance to wear. These problems limit the
usefulness of composite resin in the posterior
teeth.

Methacrylate based composites exhibit a
capable clinical performance but shrinkage is still
a drawback. Newer high molecular weight matrix
systems have been evolved like silorane. The Term
silorane is coined from its chemical building blocks
siloxanes and oxiranes2.

The surface roughness of dental
composite have an influence on the accumulation
of plaque which may lead to gingival and
periodontal inflammation and discolour the
restoration and impair aesthetics of the restoration.
Many studies have been done on the threshold of
surface roughness for bacterial plaque retention
showed a mean roughness of above 0.2µm2.
Roughness of 0.3µm can be detected by the tip of
the patients tongue3. Over a period of time
composites suffer degradation due to mechanical
and chemical interaction with oral environment in
addition to brushing with tooth brush and abrasive
paste4,5.

This study was done to compare flowable
(True nano) with microhybrid and nano cluster
composites with reference to polymerization
shrinkage, surface roughness and wear resistance
before and after tooth brushing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Group-A
G-aenial flo is a flowable composite
consisting of 69% filler load by weight and
homogenous dispersion of silinated true nano silica
glass particles of 200nm diameter.

Group-B
Filtek P90 is a light cured, radiopaque,
Silorane –based composite used in posterior
restorations. It contains 55% volume (76%weight)
inorganic fillers of quartz and yttrium fluoride with
a particle size between 0.1 and 2µm (mean 0.47µm).It
contains a hydrophobic resin matrix composed of
siloxane and oxirane.

Group-C
Filtek Z350 XT is a universal restorative
material for clinical use in anterior and posterior
restorations. The fillers are a combination of a non
–agglomerated /non-aggregated 4-11 nm Zirconia
filler and an aggregated zirconia/silica cluster filler
(comprised of 20 nm silica and 4-11 nm zirconia
particles). The inorganic filler loading is about 63.3%
by volume (78.5% by weight). It contains bis-
GMA, UDMA, TEGDMA and bis-EMA resins.

Ten samples per group were made by using
brass mould (8×2 mm diameter). The composite was
injected into the mould and a thin mylar strip was
placed on the composite surface and a glass plate
was placed on top and a firm pressure was applied
to make the surface flat and to make the surface flat
and to make it free of voids. Then all the samples
were cured according to manufacturers instructions
(40 sec). The smooth surface created against the
mylar strip was removed using soflex discs (3M
ESPE)[Figure 1]. The weight of the samples were
checked using micro–weighing balance (Mettler –
Toledo). Tooth brush wear of the samples were done
with the help of reciprocating toothbrush (colgate
360) and universal testing machine. Three body wear
was carried out with 72,000 strokes for 8 minutes
with continuous force of 1.7 newtons (200 gms
load). The tooth paste slurry (150 mg of toothpaste
diluted with 75 ml of deionised water) were injected
on to the sample continuously with the help of a
syringe. Then weight of the samples were recorded
after wear.

RESULTS

To test whether mean values of different
groups differ from one another, ANOVA has been
used. The five measurements were taken per
sample before and after tooth brush wear.

The average shrinkage of the three
groups stand apart from one another significantly
with the p– value less than (<0.05) amongst three
groups. Polymerization shrinkage found to be very
low in P 90 Mean value of (0.293) and higher in
Filtek  Z 35092.725) and highest in G-Aenial flo
(4.350) [Table 1] [Fig. 3].

The comparision of toothbrush wear
between the three groups G-Aenial flo showed
significantly more wear with p value (<0.05)
compared to other two groups and no statistically
significant difference seen between P 90 and Filtek
Z 350 [Figure 5].
Table 1. Mean values of polymerization shrinkage, roughness, wear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Polymerization Shrinkage</th>
<th>Roughness Before Tooth brushing</th>
<th>Roughness After Tooth brushing</th>
<th>Wear Before Tooth brushing</th>
<th>Wear After Tooth brushing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A - G-aenial Flo</td>
<td>4.3500</td>
<td>0.2093</td>
<td>0.6520</td>
<td>0.2310</td>
<td>0.2307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B - Filtek P90</td>
<td>0.2931</td>
<td>0.4403</td>
<td>1.6990</td>
<td>0.2660</td>
<td>0.2657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C - Z350 XT</td>
<td>2.7250</td>
<td>0.2890</td>
<td>1.4610</td>
<td>0.2655</td>
<td>0.2653</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Group A (G-aenial Flo), Group B (Filtek P 90), Group C (Filtek Z 350 XT)

Fig. 2. Gap formed between mould and the sample (linear shrinkage) at 80 x magnification

Fig. 3. Mean average shrinkage

Fig. 4. Roughness before and after wear

Fig. 5. Wear before and after
The average roughness before wear found to be higher with P-90 with P-value (<0.05) when compared with G-Aenial flo and Filtek Z350. There was no significant difference found between G=Aenial flo and Filtek Z350. [Figure 5]

Roughness after wear found to be significant lower in G-Aenial flo with P-lue (<0.05) compared to P-90 and Filtek Z350. And no significant difference was found between P-90 and Filtek Z350. [Figure 4]

DISCUSSION

Composites have progressed from macrofill to microfill and from hybrids and newer fillers such as nanohybrid, true nano, nanoclusters composites have been introduced into the market [7,8].

When the resin composite undergoes setting, the polymerization shrinkage induces contraction stress. The magnitude of the shrinkage and stress generated by the polymerization of the resin composite are the main factors for the in vivo problem like post operative sensitivity, poor marginal adaptation and recurrent caries. Polymerization shrinkage depends upon many factors such as load, type of filler particles and monomer systems[9].

In composite resins with particles ranging from 0.002 to 0.04µm are classified as microfills in this 50% of the volume of the material is resin[10]. Microhybrid composite resins contain particles ranging from 0.6-1 µm are developed [11]. New composite resins introduced in the market were developed using nano particles technology called nanomers with average particle size ranging from 20-75nm and nano clusters containing silica and zirconia particles interlinking reaching the mean size of 0.6µm which has surface finish of microfills without compromising the physical properties [12]. Surface roughness property of any material occurs when multiple factors such as filler type, shape, size and distribution of filler particles interact with one another. The aesthetics and clinical properties of composite resin depend not only on their structure but also on the surface roughness after tooth brushing which helps in greater longevity of the restoration the surface roughness of the resin may affect bacterial plaque retention [13] and evolve into periodontal diseases [12-14]. The restoration will appear optically smooth when the surface roughness value is smaller than 0.1µm [15].

Polymerization shrinkage was recorded after 24 hours by using stereomicroscope. Filtek P-90 (Group B) had a statistically lower values of polymerization shrinkage as compared to G-Aenial flo (Group A) and Filtek Z350 (Group C) [Figure 2,3]. Silorane is the new type of monomer which is used in in Filtek P 90 ‘Silorane’ and it is conventional methacrylate composite resins. When compared to methacrylate composites the cycloaliphatic oxirane functional groups account for low shrinkage of siloranes. Oxiranes which are cyclic ethers polymerize via a cationic ring opening mechanism; on the other hand methacrylate polymerize via free radical mechanism and P-90 by additional polymerization[16,17]. In this the terminal end of the polymer acts as a reaction centre where further cyclic monomers join to form a larger polymer chain through ionic propagation[18]. The cure process is initiated with electron donor assisting the oxidation reduction mechanism and degrading the idonium salt to acidic cation which starts the ring opening polymerization process.

After the addition of oxirane monomer the epoxy resin is opened to form a chain or in the case of two or more multifunctional monomers a network [19]. Polymerization shrinkage did not start immediately after light exposure but an expansion occurred instead it took long time to gel and reach vitrification point. Vitrification is a phenomenon completely distinct from gelation. It occurs after gel point when the elastic gel transform to a glass. Being slower to polymerize more time is allowed for the matrix to flow. This meant that silorane has the highest potential for stress release by permitting the material to flow during initial curing stage. G-Aenial flo (Group A) showed the highest shrinkage value amongst all the groups. As the filler load present in G=Aenial flo is less compared to the other two groups it has the high shrinkage values.

The use of prophylactic home procedures results in rough surface of restorative materials thereby causing bacterial adhesion and colonization. During tooth brushing the tooth paste is quickly diluted by saliva, in experiments in vitro this effect is stimulated by diluting the tooth paste with distilled water [20-22].

Surface roughness (Ra) before wear in this
study was recorded using surface profilometer (MITUTOYA, Japan). Surface polishing was done using softflex discs for 1 minute (finishing disc and polishing disc). As it is proved that surface created against the polyester matrix is rich in monomers and less resistance to abrasion. All the samples were air dried to make the samples free of contamination and the roughness was recorded by the help of a diamond probe (0.1 µ). The roughness of the samples were recorded in (Ra) value. After tooth brush wear of the composite were recorded using surface profilometer (Mitutoya, Japan). In the present study the surface roughness of G-Aenial flo (Group –A) had significantly low (Ra) value before and after wear followed by Filtek P 90 (Group –B)Filtek Z 350 (Group C)

This significant difference in the roughness of three groups is due to different filler type, filler load and filler distribution used in this resin. The nanofillers used in G-Aenial Flo significantly improved the reduction of surface roughness leading to better polish and gloss than microhybrid composites. The larger filler particles in (Group B) resulted in more roughness [Figure 4].

Amongst the important factors of clinical success of composite resins wear of composite resin also play an important role. However there is a documentation that wear is improved by increasing the filler load and decreasing the particle size [23]. The nanocluster filled (Filtek Z350 XT) composite resin have showed significantly least wear when compared with conventional microhybrid composite (Filtek P90) due to improvement in the filler load of the composite [24,25] [Figure 5]. Nanocluster formulations reduce the interstitial spaces of the filler particles and increase the filler load which improves the wear. The flowable composite (G-Aenial flo, true nano filler composite) (Group A) have statistically more wear than high filled composites, microhybrid (Group B) and nano clusters (Group C) due to reduced filled load .

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of the study

a) Statistical significant variation is there among the groups in relation to shrinkage with G-Aenial flo having maximum shrinkage and Filtek p 90 having the least.

b) No statistical significant difference between Filtek P90 and Filtek Z350 XT in relation to wear with G-Aenial flo showing the maximum value.

c) G-Aenial flo performed better in relation to roughness as compared to Filtek P90 and Filtek Z350 XT.

d) In relation to roughness, statistical significant difference is there between Filtek P-90 and Filtek –Z350 XT with Filtek P-90 showing maximum value.
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